

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/850,341	05/07/2001	Steven B. Dawes	SP01-120	2308
7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER	
Svetlana Short			WONG, ERIC K	
Corning Incorporated, SP-TI-3-1 Corning, NY 14831			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Coming, 141	14051		2874	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
<i>p</i> *	09/850,341	DAWES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Wong	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of t riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M atute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	=		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper of the international application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	·).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has	been received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice Output Description:) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments see page 2, filed 7/15/03, with respect to claim 7 has been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 7 as being anticipated by Inoue et al. has been withdrawn.

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to Yoneda, filed 7/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See details below.

The affidavit filed on 7/15/03 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Yoneda reference.

The Yoneda reference is a U.S. patent or U.S. patent application publication of a pending or patented application that claims the rejected invention. An affidavit or declaration is inappropriate under 37 CFR 1.131(a) when the reference is claiming the same patentable invention, see MPEP § 2306. If the reference and this application are not commonly owned, the reference can only be overcome by establishing priority of invention through interference proceedings. See MPEP Chapter 2300 for information on initiating interference proceedings. If the reference and this application are commonly owned, the patent may be disqualified as prior art by an affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130. See MPEP § 718.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 7, 9, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United 2. States Patent Application Publication 2002/0122623 to Yoneda.

Yoneda discloses an athermal silica based waveguide device providing a plurality of optical signals each corresponding to a port comprising:

- At least a pair of waveguides coupled to each other separated by a gap of about 2 micrometers to about 500 micrometers (Figure 6), said waveguides having a thermal coefficient larger than 0.0/Degrees Celsius (Paragraph 73)
- Another waveguide connecting said pair of waveguides having a thermal coefficient between -2x10⁻⁴ / Celsius to -4x10⁻⁴ / Celsius (Paragraph 73)

As to claim 9, Yoneda discloses a trapezoidal gap (Figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/850,341

Art Unit: 2874

لأل

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoneda as applied to claim 1 above.

Yoneda discloses an optical waveguide device as described in claim 7, but fails to explicitly disclose said waveguides being optical fibers.

It is well known in the art to use optical fibers as waveguides for their simplicity and cost benefits.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Yoneda to include waveguides made of optical fiber for simplicity, cost and transmission benefits.

Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/850,341

Art Unit: 2874

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 703-305-4741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on 703-308-4819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

EW

HEMANG SANGHAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER